





Introduction

What is this peculiar cloud formation?



Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) Billows

- Are observable in clouds, although they are rare
- Phenomenon occurs at all altitudes; they do not require clouds to be present
- KH billows are caused by the flow on top being faster than the flow below it
- Occur in statically stable fluids
- Observable in other places apart from atmosphere such as oceans and estuaries
- KH Billows are important as they result in vertical mixing, or sometimes referred as turbulence

Canopy Waves



- Canopy Waves are a type of KH Instability
- Occur at night when the atmosphere is stable
- Tree branches and leaves slows down the wind in the canopy
- Difficult to detect through traditional meteorological methods due to the waves not being fully turbulent or laminar
- May grow and "break"
- The breaking of these waves occur in turbulence which results in the transport of heat, momentum, and trace gases in and out of forest canopies.

REAL





Raman-shifted Eye-safe Aerosol Lidar (REAL)

- Horizontal scanning atmospheric lidar
- Able to map out the particulate matter over an area (Approx. 10 sq. km)
- Colors correspond to the intensity in particulate matter (bright = more)
- Used in CHATS in 2007
- 1 image every 15 seconds and a total of 300,000 images captured in **CHATS** experiment
- 53 canopy wave episodes were recorded which had 1600 images in total

Why is it important?



Pure fluid wave (non-turbulent)

- Everything that goes down comes back up
- This results in no <u>net</u> vertical transport



Billow (Breaking wave)

- Turbulence cause mixing and vertical transport
- Turbulence results in diffusion

Evidence of Atmospheric Canopy Wave Breaking

Alejandro Espinoza-Ruiz¹, Shane D. Mayor¹, William D. Smyth² ¹ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, California State University Chico ² College of Earth, Ocean and Environmental Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon



(From left to right):

as canopy waves in horizontal scanning lidar image

- Primary instability is the curling of the wave
- Secondary instability is the uneven collapsing of the wave crest
- Identifiable through their ragged edges in the lidar data
- Observable in the upwind side of the wave crest where the gradient is the sharpest



Example of primary instability



in the image

Evidence #3: Evolution of specific wave crest from asymmetry to turbulent



Above (from left to right): Evolution of a wave crest going from asymmetric to turbulent over a period of roughly 1 minute and 30 seconds. Image 1 (left most): Wave crest exhibits asymmetric structure through appearance of diffuse downstream and sharp upstream edges. Image 2: After 30 seconds have elapsed, the wave crest begins to show jagged upstream edges which are attributed to secondary instabilities. Image 3: Another 30 seconds have passed, and the wave crest continues to lose coherent structure. Image 4 (right most): After another 30 seconds, the wave crest has disintegrated into turbulence.

Evidence #2: Secondary Instabilities



- KH billows are observable in the clouds • Canopy waves are a type of KHI
- KHI have been recreated in lab tanks and through numerical simulation
- Important because the induced turbulence results in vertical mixing
- REAL can be used to identify canopy wave episodes

NEW:

- distinguish if a canopy wave is symmetric (non-breaking) or asymmetric (breaking)

- Horizontal lidar data can be used to • 34% of the 1600 images showed signs of breaking • 53% showed no signs of breaking

- Why do most asymmetric waves stay asymmetric • Why do few of them disintegrate into turbulence • Why do symmetric waves stay symmetric

- Francisco, CA.



Results and Methodology

• 1600 images were visually inspected

• Categorized if the wave crests in the lidar image were symmetric, asymmetric, or inconclusive



INCONCLUSIVE: 13%



Conclusion and Summary

Questions for the future:

References

Mayor, S. D., 2017: Observations of microscale internal gravity waves in very stable atmospheric boundary layers over an orchard canopy. Agric. For. Meteorol. 244-245, 136-150.

Mayor, S. D., W. D. Smyth, Q. Lian, and A. Mashayek, 2019: Primary and secondary instabilities of turbulent, nocturnal canopy flows. Poster A13N-3148 at Fall meeting of the American Geophysical Union, 9 December, San



